

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Freeman
<b>Given Name</b>	Thomas
<b>Person ID</b>	1287145
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Freeman
<b>Given Name</b>	Thomas
<b>Person ID</b>	1287145
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Fine words unfortunately I live in Little Hulton Parts of which are now a disgrace most new build are beyond the reach of people living in the area existing old housing is in dire need of refurbishment
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	We need more modern schools away from residential properties housing association/ LA properties need managing better some residents need to be given help or if able be made to look after their properties we need more leisure facilities, Doctors, Dentist better maintained roads and pavements and retention of existing green spaces
<b>Family Name</b>	Freeman
<b>Given Name</b>	Thomas
<b>Person ID</b>	1287145
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p><b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Meet our housing need</li> <li>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</li> <li>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved</li> <li>4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets</li> <li>5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity</li> <li>6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information</li> <li>7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral</li> <li>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</li> <li>9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure</li> <li>10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</li> </ol>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>Sound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Effective?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>As above fine words but not in the real world it is not going to happen in my lifetime</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Take a look at what we have already got and take immediate action to address existing problems we are already years behind in funding and infrastructure poor housing and bad residents does not improve the area building new houses does not address the current issues</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Freeman</p>
<p><b>Given Name</b></p>	<p>Thomas</p>
<p><b>Person ID</b></p>	<p>1287145</p>
<p><b>Title</b></p>	<p>JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm</p>
<p><b>Type</b></p>	<p>Web</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Too many house not enough green space roads totally inadequate with too much traffic and abysmal public transport system etc. etc.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Blindingly obvious go somewhere else